Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Food Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem

# Lipidomics analysis for identifying the geographical origin and lactation stage of goat milk



Hongyan Liu<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Xiaoqing Guo<sup>a,b</sup>, Qingyu Zhao<sup>a,b</sup>, Yuchang Qin<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Junmin Zhang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, China

<sup>b</sup> Scientific Observing and Experiment Station of Animal Genetic Resources and Nutrition in North China of Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Institute of Animal

Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, China

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Urban Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu 610213, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Goat milk Lipidomics Geographical origin Lactation stage

#### ABSTRACT

Goat milk samples of three lactation stages (colostrum, mature and late milk) were collected from three farms and analyzed with an untargeted method based on UPLC-Q-Exactive Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry and multivariate statistics. A total of 14 lipid subclasses and 756 lipid molecules were identified in samples. Five lipid subclasses and 51 lipid molecules in milk were significantly different among different geographical origins. Two lipid subclasses and 26 lipid molecules were significantly different among different lactation stages. Combined with the partial least squares discriminant analysis results of lipid molecules with a VIP value (Variable Importance in the projection) higher than 1, totally 38 and 19 lipid molecules could be used as potential indicators to identify geographical origins and lactation stages, respectively. Based on six and five selected molecules, the correct rates of discrimination models for geographical origin and lactation stage respectively reached 100% and 96%.

## 1. Introduction

Recent years, goat milk is of particular interest due to its benefits to human beings, especially to infants and elder people. The proteins and fats in goat milk is more digestible than those in cow milk produced under similar conditions. In addition, goat milk was reported to contain the higher contents of short- and medium-chain fatty acids (FA), USFA, ω-6 FA, ω-3 FA, EPA and DHA than cow milk (Ceballos et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017). In order to better utilize the fat nutrient in goat milk, it is necessary to comprehensively investigate their composition and variations

The geographical origin of a food is widely concerned among consumers. Foods produced in good producing areas (such as protected designation of origin and protected geographical indication) tend to have higher prices and are prone to be faked in the marketplace. Recent years, many techniques had been developed to trace the geographical origins of milk products, such as stable isotopes (Crittenden et al., 2007; Scampicchio et al., 2012), multi-elements (Hoffman et al., 2018), infrared spectroscopy (Lerma-Garcia, Gori, Cerretani, Simo-Alfonso, & Caboni, 2010; Bassbasi, De Luca, Ioele, Oussama, & Ragno, 2014), and the combination of stable isotopic ratios and elements (Griboff, Baroni,

Horacek, Wunderlin, & Monferran, 2019; Liu, Jiao, et al., 2019, Liu, Zhao, et al., 2019). These methods have the good discrimination capability, but the data obtained by the above methods are not related to the quality of food itself. The physical structure and chemical composition of lipids could affect the nutritional value, sensory quality and the processing characteristics of foods (Chen et al., 2017), then both the geographical origin and food quality can be identified by lipid profile analysis.

The lactation stage significantly affects the milk composition, but the changing trend of the fat content was not consistent with the whole lactation period (Assan, 2014). It was reported that the fat content was the highest at the late lactation stage, followed by early lactation stage and middle lactation stage, because the fat content was negatively correlated with milk yield (Baker, 2007). It was reported that the fat content gradually decreased in the lactation period because de novo lipogenesis was usually more active after peak lactation time (Bouattour, Casals, Albanell, Such, & Caja, 2008). Most of studies focused on the effect of lactation on the fatty acid profile (Sinanoglou et al., 2015; Mele et al., 2016; Qi et al., 2018) and fat content (Yilmaz, Cak, & Bolacali, 2011; Mestawet et al., 2012) of raw milk. Most of the above studies focused on the limited classes of lipid, but few studies

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125765

Received 2 September 2019; Received in revised form 21 October 2019; Accepted 21 October 2019 Available online 23 October 2019

0308-8146/ © 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: State Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, China.

E-mail addresses: lhy\_cpu@126.com (H. Liu), qinyuchang@caas.cn (Y. Qin), zhangjunmin@caas.cn (J. Zhang).

investigated the comprehensive lipid profiles of milk.

In order to better understand the physical structure and chemical composition of lipids in milk, it is necessary to develop a powerful detection technique with higher resolution, sensitivity and accuracy. Lipidomics is an important component of metabolomics and can accurately and thoroughly describe the lipid profiles, structures, functions, interactions and dynamics within a cell or tissue (Chen et al., 2017; Liu, Jiao, et al., 2019, Liu, Zhao, et al., 2019). Lipidomics has been applied in food science and safety research for many purposes, including animal species and breed discrimination (Li et al., 2017; Mi et al., 2018), processing effect (Navarro-Reig, Tauler, Iriondo-Frias, & Jaumot, 2019), storage changes (Wang and Zhang, 2011) and geographical traceability (Mi et al., 2019), but until now, this method has been seldom used to identify the geographical origin or lactation stage of goat milk, while the lactation stage identification is very important especially for the colostrum.

In this study, non-target lipidomics of goat milk in China was investigated. The lipid profiles of milk were analyzed according to different lactation stages and geographical origins. Based on statistical analysis, the effective biomarkers were selected to establish corresponding discrimination models. This work will provide more comprehensive lipid information for discriminating goat milk of lactation stages or from different regions.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Sample collection

Thirty mature goat milk samples (20–210 days) were collected from Yunnan (10), Shaanxi (8) and Shandong Provinces (12) in autumn of 2017. In addition, 10 colostrum samples (1–10 days) and 10 goat milk samples of late stage (> 210 days) were collected from the same farm in Shaanxi Province. At the same time, feed samples were also collected and the feed formula of each farm was recorded. Feed samples were stored in ice during shipment. All the milk samples were shipped with dry ice as a refrigerant and then stored in -80 °C until analysis. The data of goat milk samples and feed samples are listed in Table 1, and the fatty acid results of feed sample are listed in Table S1.

## 2.2. Sample pretreatment

Lipid extraction was performed according to the method by Li et al. (2017) with slight modifications. Firstly, 1 mL of Milli-Q water and 3 mL of the mixture of CHCl<sub>3</sub>: MeOH (2:1, v/v) were added in 0.2 mL of goat milk. The mixture was shaken well for 10 min at 4 °C and then centrifuged for 15 min (2000 rpm, 4 °C). The lower organic phase was pipetted onto a new 1.5-mL frozen tube, dried in nitrogen circulation, then re-dissolved in 200 µL of the mixture of CHCl<sub>3</sub>: MeOH (2:1, v/v) for further analysis.

## 2.3. UPLC-MS data acquisition

The data acquisition conditions in the report by Tang et al. (2016) were adopted. In HPLC methods, reverse phase chromatography

Cortecs C18 column ( $2.1 \times 100$  mm, Waters) was used in the positive mode and XSelect CSH C18 column ( $2.1 \times 100$  mm, Waters) was used in the negative mode. Firstly, ammonium acetate (0.77 g) was dissolved in 400 mL of HPLC-grade water and then 600 mL of HPLC-grade acetonitrile was added to prepare the mobile phase A. Then, 100 mL of acetonitrile was added into 900 mL of isopropanol to obtain the mobile phase B. The gradient elution procedure was programmed below: 0 min, 37% B; 1 min, 37% B; 4 min, 45% B; 5 min, 52% B; 8 min, 58% B; 11 min, 66% B; 14 min, 70% B; 18 min, 75% B; 20 min, 98% B; 22 min, 98% B; 22.1 min 37% B; 25 min, 37% B.

The MS analysis of lipids was carried out on Q Exactive Orbitrap (Thermo, CA) under the conditions: spray voltage, 2.8 kV for negative ion mode and 3.2 kV for positive ion mode; aux gas flow rate (arb), 10; capillary temperature, 320 °C; mass range (m/z), 200–2000 for negative ion mode and 240–2000 for positive ion mode.

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

The significances of the statistical differences of potential markers among various regions or various lactation stages were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 22.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) after normalization. Principal component analysis (PCA), partial least squares-discriminate analysis (PLS-DA) and linear discrimination analysis (LDA) were conducted by MetaboAnalyst 4.0 online.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Lipid classes of goat milk samples among different groups

Totally, 5 species of cardiolipin (CL), 45 species of ceramide (Cer), 17 species of lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), 4 species of lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE), 36 species of glycerophosphocholin (PC), 80 species of glycerophosphoethanolamine (PE), 9 species of phosphatidylglycerol (PG), 22 species of phosphatidylinositol (PI), 2 species of acyl carnitine (AcCa), 55 species of simple Glc series (HexCer), 56 species of sphingomyelin (SM), 17 species of fatty acid (FA), 15 species of diacylglycerol (DG) and 416 species of triacylglycerol (TG) were identified in the above analysis.

We calculated the sum of each lipid class and analyzed the difference among different groups. Cer and PC in mature goat milk showed the significant difference among different geographical origins (P < 0.05) and PI, SM and FA showed extremely significant differences among different geographical origins (P < 0.01) (Fig. 1A). The abundances of Cer, PC and SM in goat milk samples from Yunnan were significantly higher than those in milk samples from Shaanxi and Shandong, whereas the abundances of PI and FA in milk samples from Yunnan were extremely significantly lower than those in milk samples from other two provinces. Among different lactation stages, the abundance of PG showed significant differences (P < 0.05) and PG in mature milk samples was much higher than those in colostrum and late milk samples. In addition, TG also showed extremely significant differences among different stages (P < 0.01) since TG gradually decreased in the lactation period (Fig. 1B).

#### Table 1

Information on locations, feed conditions and fat content of goat milk samples.

Farms	Samples	Latitude, Longitude	Altitude (m)	Roughage	Concentrate	Fat (%)
Luliang, Yunnan	mature milk	24°51′32″N, 103°30′47″E	1917	maize silage, grass, radish	maize, distiller's grains	$2.71~\pm~0.39$
Yangling, Shaanxi	colostrum mature milk late milk	34°15′02″N, 108°02′16″E	434	grass	maize, soybean meal, wheat bran, rapeseed meal	3.14 ± 1.01
Jimo, Shandong	mature milk	36°27′53″N, 120°17′37″E	21	maize stalks, grass	maize, soybean meal	2.12 ± 0.57



Fig. 1. The abundance of lipid classes among different geographical origins (A) or different lactation stages (B).

#### 3.2. Lipid profiles of goat milk among different geographical origins

Firstly, PCA analysis was performed. The cumulative contribution rate of the first five principal components was 59.6%. Scatter plot was plotted with the first two principal components. Milk samples from Shaanxi could be completely distinguished from milk samples from the other two provinces. There were some overlaps between Shandong and Yunnan samples (Fig. 2A). Secondly, the PLS-DA was performed to discriminate goat milk samples of different geographical origins. The PLS-DA score plot showed that good separation was obtained (Fig. 2B). Based on the VIP (Variable Importance in the projection) values from the PLS-DA model, 259 individual lipid species with VIP > 1 were selected as potential markers for the discrimination of goat milk samples from three provinces: Shaanxi, Shandong and Yunnan. In addition, the significantly different lipid profiles between the three geographical origins were obtained by a one-way ANOVA. The results showed that 51 lipid compounds were significant different among the milk samples from different regions (P < 0.05).

The lipids those both satisfied VIP score greater than 1 and ANOVA *p*-value smaller than 0.05 were considered to be potentially significant for the separation of the three different regions. In total, 38 lipids showed significant differences among different geographical origins (Table 2).

# 3.3. Lipid profiles of goat milk among different lactation stages

12 12

PC1 (21.9%)

8 4

m 3

(13.

C 2

2

1

-1

We also conducted PCA, PLS-DA and one-way ANOVA to analyze the

data of goat milk samples of different lactation stages. According to PCA analysis results, the cumulative contribution rate of the first five principal components was 65%. We used the first three principle components to generate the 3-D scatter plot, the goat milk samples of different lactation stages could be completely separated with each other (Fig. 3A). Fig. 3B shows the PLS-DA score plot based on the classification of goat milk from different lactation stages, there were 251 lipid compounds whose VIP scores were higher than 1. One-way ANOVA was performed to figure out the lipid compounds which were significantly different among different lactation stages (P < 0.05), 26 lipid compounds were found to be significantly different in goat milk samples of different lactation stages.

We used the same criterion to find the potential markers for the separation of the goat milk samples of three different lactation stages. The final results showed that 19 lipid compounds (VIP > 1 and P < 0.05) could be selected as potential markers for discriminating goat milk samples of different lactation stages (Table 3).

## 3.4. Establishment of discrimination models

In order to establish discrimination models for goat milk according to geographical origins and lactation stages, the stepwise canonical discriminant analysis was performed with lipidomics data. Two functions were selected and could explain 100% of the variance. Function 1 was mainly obtained by PI(18:1\_18:1)-H, TG(11:0\_18:1\_18:2)+NH<sub>4</sub> and TG(16:1\_18:1\_18:2)+NH<sub>4</sub>, and Function 2 was mainly obtained by TG(6:0\_10:0\_17:1)+NH<sub>4</sub>, TG(10:0\_18:2\_20:5)+H and TG(16:1\_10:0\_10:0) +NH<sub>4</sub>. Both overall and cross-validation correct classification rate were

SD-M
SX-M
YN-M

0



Fig. 2. PCA (A) and PLS-DA (B) of the lipidomic data obtained from the Shandong, Shaanxi and Yunnan mature goat milk samples.

## Table 2

The potential lipid makers for discrimination of goat milk geographical origin.

Lipid	Formula	Retention time (min)	Lipid Category	VIP score	P value	Yunnan ( $\times 10^6$ )	Shaanxi (×10 <sup>6</sup> )	Shandong (×10 <sup>6</sup> )
Cer(d19:1_24:1) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C45 H86 O5 N1	21.01	Sphingolipids	1.27	< 0.05	2.52 ± 1.06a	$0.45 \pm 0.10c$	$1.32 \pm 0.38b$
Cer(d18:1_25:0) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C45 H88 O5 N1	22.77	Sphingolipids	1.19	< 0.05	$13.34 \pm 5.00a$	$4.77 \pm 1.12c$	$7.66 \pm 0.81b$
Hex2Cer(d42:1) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C <sub>56</sub> H <sub>106</sub> O <sub>15</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	19.63	Sphingolipids	1.15	< 0.05	8.95 ± 3.92a	$3.95 \pm 0.57c$	6.48 ± 1.51b
PC(15:0_16:0) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C <sub>41</sub> H <sub>81</sub> O <sub>10</sub> N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	14.52	Phospholipids	1.15	< 0.05	$3.81 \pm 1.11b$	$2.10 \pm 0.34c$	$5.57 \pm 2.08a$
PC(16:0_18:3)+CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C44 H81 O10 N1 P1	13.33	Phospholipids	1.46	< 0.05	$3.34 \pm 0.93a$	$2.66 \pm 0.53b$	$1.84 \pm 0.54c$
PC(16:0_18:2) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C44 H83 O10 N1 P1	14.42	Phospholipids	1.08	< 0.05	160.08 ± 28.23a	95.13 ± 22.51c	$115.70 \pm 14.07b$
PE(18:1_12:0)-H	$C_{35} H_{67} O_8 N_1 P_1$	12.85	Phospholipids	1.83	< 0.05	$0.92 \pm 0.04c$	$1.70 \pm 0.57b$	$2.26 \pm 0.60a$
PE(18:0_20:3)-H	$C_{43} H_{79} O_8 N_1 P_1$	16.50	Phospholipids	1.74	< 0.05	$2.92 \pm 1.08c$	$6.69 \pm 2.32b$	10.33 ± 4.76a
PI(18:1_18:1)-H	C45 H82 O13 N0 P1	13.29	Phospholipids	1.64	< 0.05	$13.12 \pm 5.96c$	$21.43 \pm 3.28b$	$28.35 \pm 7.89a$
$SM(d43:1) + CH_3COO$	C <sub>50</sub> H <sub>100</sub> O <sub>8</sub> N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	21.05	Sphingolipids	1.81	< 0.05	$24.23 \pm 11.42a$	$15.77 \pm 2.60b$	$7.44 \pm 7.08c$
$SM(t41:1) + CH_3COO$	C48 H96 O9 N2 P1	19.07	Sphingolipids	1.13	< 0.05	$1.14 \pm 0.91b$	$2.16 \pm 0.67a$	$0.47 \pm 0.29c$
TG(19:1_18:1_18:2)+NH4	C58 H108 O6 N1	24.34	Neutral lipids	1.74	< 0.05	3.99 ± 1.40a	$2.72 \pm 1.02b$	$1.73 \pm 0.53c$
TG(19:1_18:1_18:3) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C58 H106 O6 N1	24.18	Neutral lipids	1.48	< 0.05	$2.31 \pm 1.01a$	$1.64 \pm 0.64b$	$0.96 \pm 0.48c$
TG(18:1_12:0_18:3)+H	C <sub>51</sub> H <sub>91</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	23.98	Neutral lipids	1.50	< 0.05	$8.54 \pm 2.62a$	$6.60 \pm 1.32b$	$4.41 \pm 1.29c$
TG(6:0_10:0_17:1) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C36 H70 O6 N1	17.58	Neutral lipids	1.64	< 0.05	$0.78 \pm 0.36c$	$1.79 \pm 0.14b$	3.43 ± 1.66a
TG(4:0_16:1_18:3)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>41</sub> H <sub>74</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	16.99	Neutral lipids	2.09	< 0.05	$2.28 \pm 1.26c$	$5.31 \pm 2.87b$	7.42 ± 2.14a
TG(11:0_18:1_18:2) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>50</sub> H <sub>94</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	23.53	Neutral lipids	1.37	< 0.05	39.82 ± 10.63a	$32.64 \pm 5.24b$	$25.23 \pm 4.00c$
TG(10:0_18:2_20:5)+H	C <sub>51</sub> H <sub>85</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	22.59	Neutral lipids	1.59	< 0.05	$21.57 \pm 4.95b$	$27.18 \pm 4.29a$	$13.27 \pm 2.81c$
TG(10:0_18:2_18:3)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C49 H88 O6 N1	21.38	Neutral lipids	1.84	< 0.05	$2.32 \pm 1.71b$	$3.47 \pm 0.89a$	$0.33 \pm 0.31c$
TG(18:1_13:0_18:2)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C52 H98 O6 N1	23.90	Neutral lipids	1.54	< 0.05	40.16 ± 13.19a	$27.42 \pm 7.22b$	$19.18 \pm 2.68c$
TG(15:0_18:2_18:2) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C54 H100 O6 N1	23.93	Neutral lipids	1.62	< 0.05	$23.93 \pm 9.97a$	$15.74 \pm 5.25b$	$8.79 \pm 2.43c$
TG(4:0_8:0_12:0)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>54</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	11.18	Neutral lipids	1.90	< 0.05	66.51 ± 24.59c	$105.77 \pm 34.08b$	143.86 ± 47.87a
TG(16:0_18:1_18:1) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C55 H106 O6 N1	24.39	Neutral lipids	1.73	< 0.05	518.09 ± 156.19a	$356.61 \pm 108.65b$	$240.10 \pm 51.34c$
TG(18:1_12:0_18:1)+NH4	C <sub>51</sub> H <sub>98</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	24.01	Neutral lipids	1.84	< 0.05	612.96 ± 164.85a	$397.80 \pm 80.57b$	296.45 ± 62.89c
TG(10:0_18:2_18:2) + NH4	C49 H90 O6 N1	22.59	Neutral lipids	1.32	< 0.05	$229.46 \pm 72.60b$	275.52 ± 36.46a	167.23 ± 29.59c
TG(18:1_14:0_18:2)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>53</sub> H <sub>100</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	24.04	Neutral lipids	2.15	< 0.05	315.11 ± 76.89a	$193.35 \pm 52.57b$	$132.38 \pm 28.94c$
TG(16:0_18:1_18:2)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C55 H104 O6 N1	24.25	Neutral lipids	2.23	< 0.05	$326.82 \pm 78.89a$	$231.91 \pm 68.08b$	$138.53 \pm 35.90c$
TG(16:0_14:0_18:3)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>51</sub> H <sub>96</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	23.75	Neutral lipids	1.76	< 0.05	$249.39 \pm 60.93a$	$191.74 \pm 40.76b$	133.32 ± 31.98c
TG(16:1_18:1_18:2) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>55</sub> H <sub>102</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	24.07	Neutral lipids	1.87	< 0.05	$196.39 \pm 58.55a$	$134.62 \pm 32.14b$	$72.73 \pm 24.10c$
TG(14:0_18:2_18:2) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>53</sub> H <sub>98</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	23.78	Neutral lipids	2.36	< 0.05	$107.03 \pm 31.03a$	76。80 ± 34.89b	$40.83 \pm 8.75c$
TG(18:1_18:2_18:2) + NH4	C <sub>57</sub> H <sub>104</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	24.07	Neutral lipids	2.05	< 0.05	$114.55 \pm 47.42a$	78.37 ± 33.71b	$25.93 \pm 23.94c$
TG(16:1_18:2_18:2) + NH4	C55 H100 O6 N1	23.83	Neutral lipids	1.46	< 0.05	35.11 ± 19.23a	$21.66 \pm 6.16b$	$9.54 \pm 7.02c$
$TG(16:0_{12}:0_{18}:1) + NH_4$	C <sub>49</sub> H <sub>96</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	23.98	Neutral lipids	1.55	< 0.05	$1050.77 \pm 243.34a$	$803.41 \pm 120.43b$	647.99 ± 100.66c
$TG(10:0_{18:1_{18:1}} + NH_4)$	C <sub>49</sub> H <sub>94</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	23.71	Neutral lipids	1.95	< 0.05	$1107.60 \pm 199.45a$	963.12 ± 145.70b	659.97 ± 101.36c
$TG(8:0_{1}8:1_{1}8:1) + NH_4$	C <sub>47</sub> H <sub>90</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	23.26	Neutral lipids	2.03	< 0.05	$1833.36\ \pm\ 246.10c$	$1938.92 \pm 265.13a$	$1143.33 \pm 215.56b$
TG(16:1_10:0_10:0) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C39 H76 O6 N1	18.84	Neutral lipids	1.29	< 0.05	$953.88 \pm 224.77c$	$1845.58 \pm 256.41a$	$1530.93\ \pm\ 205.94b$
$TG(10:0_14:0_18:1) + NH_4$	$C_{45} H_{88} O_6 N_1$	23.19	Neutral lipids	1.96	< < 0.05	$3227.44 \pm 368.87a$	$2693.93 \pm 382.17b$	$2288.30 \pm 321.08c$
$TG(4:0_10:0_18:1) + NH_4$	$C_{35} \; H_{68} \; O_6 \; N_1$	16.00	Neutral lipids	1.03	< 0.05	$1232.56~\pm~301.35c$	$2228.92 \pm 442.61a$	$1871.04 \pm 243.48b$

The lipids in bold font were introduced in the established discriminate model.



Fig. 3. PCA (A) and PLS-DA (B) of the lipidomic data obtained from colostrum, mature and late stage of goat milk samples.

#### Table 3

The potential lipid makers for discrimination of goat milk lactation stage.

Lipid	Formula	Retention time (min)	Lipid Category	VIP score	P value	Colostrum ( $\times 10^6$ )	Mature milk ( $\times 10^6$ )	Late milk ( $\times 10^6$ )
Cer(d18:1_22:1) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	$\rm C_{42} \ H_{80} \ O_5 \ N_1$	19.16	Sphingolipids	2.67	< 0.05	5.05 ± 1.36 a	$0.96 \pm 0.15c$	2.66 ± 1.12b
Cer(d18:1_18:1) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	$C_{38} H_{72} O_5 N_1$	16.10	Sphingolipids	1.19	< 0.05	$3.24 \pm 2.17b$	$4.91 \pm 0.82 a$	$1.30 \pm 1.16c$
Cer(d16:1_24:1) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C <sub>42</sub> H <sub>80</sub> O <sub>5</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	18.92	Sphingolipids	2.92	< 0.05	$3.31 \pm 0.38a$	$0.57 \pm 0.14c$	$1.91 \pm 1.06b$
Hex1Cer(d18:1_25:0) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C <sub>51</sub> H <sub>98</sub> O <sub>10</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	21.52	Sphingolipids	1.08	< 0.05	$7.21 \pm 2.18b$	$10.43 \pm 1.82a$	4.77 ± 2.67c
$Hex1Cer(d40:0) + CH_3COO$	C48 H94 O10 N1	19.78	Sphingolipids	1.86	< 0.05	$0.70 \pm 0.21c$	$3.00 \pm 1.38a$	1.86 ± 1.13b
PI(18:0_18:2)-H	C <sub>45</sub> H <sub>82</sub> O <sub>13</sub> N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	13.49	Phospholipids	2.47	< 0.05	$8.28 \pm 3.09c$	$29.66 \pm 4.08a$	$21.05 \pm 11.12b$
SM(d37:1) + CH <sub>3</sub> COO	C44 H88 O8 N2 P1	16.47	Sphingolipids	1.97	< 0.05	21.69 ± 5.57a	$8.32 \pm 1.46b$	$4.51 \pm 2.79c$
$SM(d35:1) + CH_3COO$	$C_{42} H_{84} O_8 N_2 P_1$	14.59	Sphingolipids	1.46	< 0.05	35.24 ± 8.16a	16.44 ± 3.30b	8.78 ± 5.10c
$SM(d36:1) + CH_3COO$	$C_{43} H_{86} O_8 N_2 P_1$	15.68	Sphingolipids	1.07	< 0.05	198.25 ± 51.49a	$134.75 \pm 21.66b$	$65.73 \pm 24.05c$
TG(4:0_10:0_20:4) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>37</sub> H <sub>66</sub> O <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	14.28	Neutral lipids	1.56	< 0.05	$3.40 \pm 1.00a$	$1.86 \pm 0.88b$	$1.01 \pm 0.39c$
TG(6:0_6:0_20:4)+NH4	C35 H62 O6 N1	12.90	Neutral lipids	1.28	< 0.05	4.56 ± 1.12a	$2.80 \pm 1.07b$	$1.57 \pm 0.83c$
TG(4:0_6:0_20:3)+H	C <sub>33</sub> H <sub>57</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	14.71	Neutral lipids	1.53	< 0.05	$6.58 \pm 2.46b$	13.73 ± 3.23a	$2.88 \pm 1.74c$
TG(4:0_10:0_18:2)+NH <sub>4</sub>	C35 H66 O6 N1	15.11	Neutral lipids	2.36	< 0.05	$3.24 \pm 1.99c$	$21.01 \pm 6.24a$	$10.07 \pm 3.47b$
TG(4:0_6:0_16:0) + NH <sub>4</sub>	C29 H58 O6 N1	13.37	Neutral lipids	1.00	< 0.05	$13.11 \pm 7.90b$	23.06 ± 8.57a	$4.10 \pm 3.47c$
TG(10:0_18:2_20:5)+H	C <sub>51</sub> H <sub>85</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	22.59	Neutral lipids	1.76	< 0.05	$17.75 \pm 4.47c$	27.18 ± 4.31a	$22.54 \pm 4.38b$
TG(6:0_10:0_20:5)+H	C39 H65 O6	16.23	Neutral lipids	2.82	< 0.05	$25.66 \pm 8.52c$	71.88 ± 6.79a	$37.22 \pm 8.71b$
TG(8:0_10:0_18:3)+NH4	C39 H72 O6 N1	16.38	Neutral lipids	2.20	< 0.05	$16.65 \pm 8.01c$	53.94 ± 14.98a	28.83 ± 9.50b
TG(4:0_18:0_18:1) + NH4	C43 H84 O6 N1	22.54	Neutral lipids	1.24	< 0.05	$205.12 \pm 84.34b$	377.62 ± 101.47a	$101.74 \pm 68.22c$
$TG(4:0_18:1_18:2) + NH_4$	$C_{43} \; H_{80} \; O_6 \; N_1$	19.43	Neutral lipids	1.48	< 0.05	$730.00 \pm 247.37b$	$1128.11 \pm 101.42a$	$418.00\ \pm\ 185.97c$

The lipids in bold font were introduced in the established discriminate model.

100%. Fisher's discrimination functions for goat milk samples from different geographical origins are provided as follows:

$$Y_{Y_{unnan}} = -8.484 E - 8 PI20 + 3.61 E - 7 TG30 + 6.470 E - 7 TG179 - 7.629 E - 7 TG184 + 1.560 E - 7 TG334 + 1.684 E - 8 TG394 - 28.684, (1)$$

$$\begin{split} Y_{\text{Shaanxi}} &= 1.047 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ PI20} + 2.274 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG30} - 1.057 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG179} + 2.342 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG184} \\ &- 4.173 \text{ E} - 8 \text{ TG334} + 3.725 \text{ E} - 8 \text{ TG394} - 60.500, \end{split}$$

Y<sub>Shandong</sub> = 1.639 E- 6 PI20 + 6.771 E- 6 TG30 - 5.335 E- 7 TG179

+ 5.442 E- 7 TG184 - 1.447 E- 8 TG334 + 2.895 E- 8 TG394 - 54.467, (3)

where PI20 is PI(18:1\_18:1)-H; TG30 is TG(6:0\_10:0\_17:1) + NH<sub>4</sub>; TG179 is TG(11:0\_18:1\_18:2) + NH<sub>4</sub>; TG184 is TG(10:0\_18:2\_20:5) + H; TG334 is TG(16:1\_18:1\_18:2) + NH<sub>4</sub>; TG394 is TG(16:1\_10:0\_10:0) + NH<sub>4</sub>.

In order to discriminate goat milk samples of different lactation stages, two functions were selected and could explain 100% of the variance. Function 1 was mainly obtained by Hex1Cer(d40:0) + CH<sub>3</sub>COO, TG(4:0\_6:0\_20:3) + H, TG(4:0\_6:0\_16:0) + NH<sub>4</sub> and TG(6:0\_10:0\_20:5) + H, and Function 2 was mainly obtained by SM (d37:1) + CH<sub>3</sub>COO. The overall correct classification rate was 100%, whereas the cross-validation rate was 96%. Fisher's discrimination functions for goat milk samples of different lactation stages are provided as follows:

$$Y_{\text{colostrum}} = -6.370 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ H1C14} + 1.275 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ SM22} + 4.838 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG102} - 1.368 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG149} + 5.793 \text{ E} - 7 \text{ TG210} - 27.081.$$
(4)

$$Y_{Mature} = -1.981 \text{ E} - 5 \text{ H1C14} - 1.728 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ SM22} + 1.481 \text{ E} - 5 \text{ TG102} - 4.018 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG149} + 2.904 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG210} - 123.865,$$
(5)

$$Y_{\text{Late}} = -5.993 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ H1C14} - -6.121 \text{ E} - 7 \text{ SM22} + 4.323 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG102} - 1.280 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG149} + 1.152 \text{ E} - 6 \text{ TG210} - 19.206.$$
(6)

where H1C14 is Hex1Cer(d40:0) + CH<sub>3</sub>COO; SM22 is SM(d37:1) + CH<sub>3</sub>COO; TG102 is TG(4:0\_6:0\_20:3) + H; TG149 is TG(4:0\_6:0\_16:0) + NH<sub>4</sub>; TG210 is TG(6:0\_10:0\_20:5) + H.

#### 3.5. Application of the discrimination models

The Y value in each model is calculated by the abundances of lipid compounds and the coefficient in the model. When the abundances of the lipid compounds of one unknown goat milk were determined, the Y value in geographical origin discrimination model (formula (1)-(3)) or lactation stage discrimination model (formula (4)-(6)) can be deduced. The region of lactation stage corresponding to the maximum Y value is the geographical origin or lactation period to which the unknown goat milk belongs.

## 4. Discussion

In previous studies, lipidomics analysis was performed to identify the lipid composition in goat milk (Li et al., 2017) and the found lipid subclasses were roughly the same to those found in this study. In this study, additional lipid classes in goat milk were identified such as CL, HexCer and AcCa. The extraction method and determination method by Li et al. (2017) were adopted in the study. The differences might be ascribed to the difference in sample sources and the accumulated data of lipids. In this study, the significant differences in Cer and PC were found among the samples from different regions (P < 0.05) and extremely significant differences in PI, SM and FA were found among the samples from different regions (P < 0.01). Among the samples of different lactation stages, PG and TG were significant different and TG was extremely significant different.

The lipid differences among milk samples from different geographical origins could be associated with the dietary composition environment and feeding management (Capuano, Boerrigter-Eenling, Elgersma, & Ruth, 2014; Mele et al., 2016; Murphy, Mcneill, Connolly, & Gleeson, 1990; Thomson, Humphries, Kliem, Dittmann, & Reynolds, 2017; Yang et al., 2013). Milk fat contents as well as some individual fatty acids in cow milk were found to be significant different among different regions of China (Yang et al., 2013). Thomson et al. (2017) showed that the proportion of n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk from dairy cows fed with a higher proportion of alfalfa silage were higher than those in milk from dairy cows fed with corn silage. Murphy et al. (1990) showed that full-fat soybeans increased the triglyceride content of C52 and C54 in milk. In addition, different feeds (fresh forage, forage silage, corn silage and concentrate) would lead different fatty acid patterns in milk TG and it was found that higher ratios of fresh forage could increase TG that containing 40, 52 and 54 acyl carbon atoms, and reduce the TG containing 34 and 36 acyl carbon atoms in milk (Capuano et al., 2014). Therefore, the abundances of TG (18:1\_13:0\_18:2) and TG (15:0\_18:2\_18:2) in goat milk from Yunnan and Shaanxi in our study were higher since the goats in these two farms were fed with more fresh pasture and soybeans.

In this study, the total fatty acid content of goat milk gradually increased during the lactation period. The similar result was also reported previously (Rako, Kalit, Kalit, Soldo, & Ljubenkov, 2018). In addition, the total saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids and monounsaturated fatty acids in goat milk also increased during the lactation period (Rako et al., 2018) and saturated fatty acids in cow milk and polyunsaturated fatty acids in donkey milk also showed the same trends (Martini, Altomonte, Manica, & Salari, 2015; Nantapo, Muchenje, & Hugo, 2014). Previous studies indicated that gangliosides composed of ceramide had the highest content in colostrum, the lower content in transition milk and mature milk, and the higher content in late milk (Martín, Martín-Sosa, & Hueso, 2001). Similarly, the Cer abundance in mature goat milk was found to be the lowest among three lactation stages in this study.

Based on PLS-DA and one-way ANOVA, the potential markers for identifying goat milk samples of different lactation stages from geographical origins were screened. Furthermore, the stepwise linear discriminant analysis was performed to establish discriminant models, six and five lipid molecules were respectively obtained for identifying the geographical origins and lactation stages and the discriminant effect was satisfactory. The result proved that lipidomics analysis was feasible and effective for discriminating goat milk in China.

#### 5. Conclusion

The lipid classes and molecules in goat milk samples of different lactation stages from different geographical origins were determined and compared. Cer, PC, PI, SM were significantly different among goat milk samples from different geographical origins. PG and TG were significantly different among goat milk samples of different lactation stages. In total, 38 and 19 lipid molecules could be used as potential biomarkers to identify geographical origins and lactation stages of goat milk, respectively. Good discrimination results were obtained with the established models. The study provided the technical basis for milk authentication.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Agricultural Products Quality and Safety Supervision Special Fund and the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Project (CAAS-XTCX2016011-01).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125765.

#### References

- Assan, N. (2014). Influence of stage of lactation on quantitative and qualitative milk production parameters in goats. *Scientific Journal of Animal Science*, 3(12), 219–300.
- Baker, I. A. (2007). Studies on milk composition of black goat and meriz during lactation period. Journal of University of Duhok, 10(2), 65–69.
- Bassbasi, M., De Luca, M., Ioele, G., Oussama, A., & Ragno, G. (2014). Prediction of the geographical origin of butters by partial least square discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) applied to infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) data. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 33(2), 210–215.

- Bouattour, M. A., Casals, R., Albanell, E., Such, X., & Caja, G. (2008). Feeding soybean oil to dairy goats increases conjugated linoleic acid in milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 91(6), 2399–2407.
- Capuano, E., Boerrigter-Eenling, R., Elgersma, A., & Ruth, S. M. V. (2014). Effect of fresh grass feeding, pasture grazing and organic/biodynamic farming on bovine milk triglyceride profile and implications for authentication. *European Food Research and Technology*, 238(4), 573–580.
- Ceballos, L. S., Morales, E. R., de la Torre Adarve, G., Castro, J. D., Martínez, L. P., & Remedios Sampelayo, M. R. S. (2009). Composition of goat and cow milk produced under similar conditions and analysed by identical methodology. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 22*(4), 322–329.
- Chen, H., Wei, F., Dong, X. Y., Xiang, J. Q., Quek, S. Y., & Wang, X. (2017). Lipidomics in food science. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 16, 80–87.
- Crittenden, R. G., Andrew, A. S., LeFournour, M., Young, M. D., Middleton, H., & Stockmann, R. (2007). Determining the geographic origin of milk in Australasia using multi-element stable isotope ratio analysis. *International Dairy Journal*, 17(5), 421–428.
- Griboff, J., Baroni, M. V., Horacek, M., Wunderlin, D. A., & Monferran, M. V. (2019). Multielemental + isotopic fingerprint enables linking soil, water, forage and milk composition, assessing the geographical origin of Argentinean milk. *Food Chemistry*, 283, 549–558.
- Hoffman, T., Jacimovic, R., Bay, L. J., Griboff, J., Jagodic, M., Monferran, M., ... Almirall, J. (2018). Development of a method for the elemental analysis of milk powders using laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) and its potential use in geographic sourcing. *Talanta*, 186, 670–677.
- Lerma-Garcia, M. J., Gori, A., Cerretani, L., Simo-Alfonso, E. F., & Caboni, M. F. (2010). Classification of Pecorino cheeses produced in Italy according to their ripening time and manufacturing technique using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *Journal* of Dariy Science, 93(10), 4490–4496.
- Li, Q., Zhao, Y., Zhu, D., Pang, X., Liu, Y., Frew, R., & Chen, G. (2017). Lipidomics profiling of goat milk, soymilk and bovine milk by UPLC-Q-Exactive Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*, 224(Complete), 302–309.
- Liu, Y., Jiao, J. G., Gao, S., Ning, L. J., Limbu, S. M., Qiao, F., ... Du, Z. Y. (2019). Dietary oils modify lipid molecules and nutritional value of fillet in Nile tilapia: A deep lipidomics analysis. *Food Chemistry*, 277, 515–523.
- Liu, H., Zhao, Q., Guo, X., Tang, C., Yu, X., Zhan, T., ... Zhang, J. (2019). Application of isotopic and elemental fingerprints in identifying the geographical origin of goat milk in China. Food Chemistry, 277, 448–454.
- Martín, M.-J., Martín-Sosa, S., & Hueso, P. (2001). Bovine milk gangliosides: Changes in ceramide moietywith stage of lactation. *Lipids*, 36, 291–298.
- Martini, M., Altomonte, I., Manica, E., & Salari, F. (2015). Changes in donkey milk lipids in relation to season and lactation. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 41, 30–34.
- Mele, M., Macciotta, N. P. P., Cecchinato, A., Conte, G., Schiavon, S., & Bittante, G. (2016). Multivariate factor analysis of detailed milk fatty acid profile: Effects of dairy system, feeding, herd, parity, and stage of lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99, 9820–9833.
- Mestawet, T. A., Girma, A., Adnoy, T., Devold, T. G., Narvhus, J. A., & Vegarud, G. E. (2012). Milk production, composition and variation at different lactation stages of four goat breeds in Ethiopia. *Small Ruminant Research*, 105, 176–181.
- Mi, S., Shang, K., Jia, W., Zhang, C. H., Li, X., Fan, Y. Q., & Wang, H. (2018). Characterization and discrimination of Taihe black-boned silky fowl (Gallus gallus domesticus Brisson) muscles using LC/MS-based lipidomics. *Food Research International*, 109, 187–195.
- Mi, S., Shang, K., Li, X., Zhang, C. H., Liu, J. Q., & Huang, D. Q. (2019). Characterization and discrimination of selected China's domestic pork using an LC-MS-based lipidomics approach. *Food Control*, 100, 305–314.
- Murphy, J. J., Mcneill, G. P., Connolly, J. F., & Gleeson, P. A. (1990). Effect on cow performance and milk fat composition of including full fat soyabeans and rapeseeds in the concentrate mixture for lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 56, 295–306.
- Nantapo, C. T. W., Muchenje, V., & Hugo, A. (2014). Atherogenicity index and healthrelated fatty acids in different stagesof lactation from Friesian, Jersey and Friesian × Jersey cross cow milkunder a pasture-based dairy system. *Food Chemistry*, 146, 127–133.
- Navarro-Reig, M., Tauler, R., Iriondo-Frias, G., & Jaumot, J. (2019). Untargeted lipidomic evaluation of hydric and heat stresses on rice growth. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 1104, 148–156.
- Qi, C., Sun, J., Xia, Y., Yu, R., Wei, W., Xiang, J., ... Wang, X. (2018). Fatty acid profile and the sn-2 position distribution in triacylglycerols of breast milk during different lactation stages. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 66(12), 3118–3126.
- Rako, A., Kalit, M. T., Kalit, S., Soldo, B., & Ljubenkov, I. (2018). Nutritional characteristics of Croatian whey cheese (Bračka skuta) producedin different stages of lactation. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 96, 657–662.
- Scampicchio, M., Mimmo, T., Capici, C., Huck, C., Innocente, N., Drusch, S., & Cesco, S. (2012). Identification of milk origin and process-induced changes in milk by stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(45), 11268–11273.
- Sinanoglou, V. J., Koutsouli, P., Fotakis, C., Sotiropoulou, G., Cavouras, D., & Bizelis, I. (2015). Assessment of lactation stage and breed effect on sheep milk fatty acid profile and lipid quality indices. *Dairy Science & Technology*, 95(4), 509–531.
- Tang, H., Wang, X., Xu, L., Ran, X., Li, X., Chen, L., ... Liu, X. (2016). Establishment of

local searching methods for orbitrap-based high throughput metabolomics analysis. *Talanta, 156–157,* 163–171. Thomson, A. L., Humphries, D. J., Kliem, K. E., Dittmann, M. T., & Reynolds, C. K. (2017).

- Thomson, A. L., Humphries, D. J., Kliem, K. E., Dittmann, M. T., & Reynolds, C. K. (2017). Effects of replacing maize silage with lucerne silage and lucerne silage chop length on rumen function and milk fatty acid composition. *Journal of Dariy Science*, 100, 7127–7138.
- Wang, Y., & Zhang, H. (2011). Tracking phospholipid profiling of muscle from Ctennopharyngodon idellus during storage by shotgun lipidomics. Journal of

Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 59(21), 11635–11642.

- Yang, Y., Wang, J., Yuan, T., Bu, D., Yang, J., Zhou, L., ... Zhang, J. (2013). Impact of region on the composition of milk fatty acids in China. *Journal of the Science of Food* and Agriculture, 93(11), 2864–2869.
- Yilmaz, O., Cak, B., & Bolacali, M. (2011). Effects of lactation stage, age, birth type and body weight on chemical composition of red karaman sheep milk. *Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi,* 17(3), 383–386.